Experimental evidence of temperature gradients in cavitating microflows seeded with thermosensitive nanoprobes
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Thermosensitive fluorescent nanoparticles seeded in deionized water combined with confocal microscopy enables thermal mapping over three dimensions of the liquid phase flowing through a microchannel interrupted by a microdiaphragm. This experiment reveals the presence of a strong thermal gradient up to ~10^5 K/m only when hydrodynamic cavitation is present. Here hydrodynamic cavitation is the consequence of high shear rates downstream in the diaphragm. This temperature gradient is located in vortical structures associated with eddies in the sheared layers. We attribute such overheating to the dissipation involved by the cavitating flow regime. Accordingly, we demonstrate that the microsizes of the device enhance the intensity of the thermal gap.
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While it addresses several societal aspects such as green energy or the catalysis reaction for the elimination of toxic agents, thermodynamic conditions of cavitation are not fully understood. At the macroscale, only wall temperatures have been accessed. Microfluidic systems have proved to be unsurpassed tools in fluid dynamics. From a fundamental point of view, they remain unique features allowing experiments that are inaccessible at the macroscale. Local pressure drops have demonstrated the validity of the classical laws of hydrodynamics down to a few micrometers [1]. Local pressure drop measurements have demonstrated the validity of the classical laws of hydrodynamics down to a few micrometers [1]. Furthermore, recent studies have shown how microchannels have helped to elucidate the role of aggregates in the properties of nanofluids [2] as well as the influence of nanoparticles in the threshold of cavitation [3,4]. Thus, exploring, via nonintrusive methods, the thermal transport of fluids flowing through microchannels is a stimulating challenge.

Optical methods are well suited when nonintrusive measurement techniques are required. The strong temperature dependence of fluorescent materials has been widely studied over the past decades [5–7]. As an illustration, temperature sensors based on the fluorescence decay have been proposed for cryogenic applications [8] and more recently for combined temperature and velocity measurements in liquid and gas flows [9]. Temperature is also known to affect the intensity of the emitted wavelengths of a fluorescent material submitted to a laser irradiation (so-called laser induced fluorescence). Dual tracer fluorescence thermometry has been developed to prevent bias measurements that could be caused by the fluctuation of the illuminating source. This consists of introducing into the fluid a second dye that is independent of the temperature [5]; the ratio of these signals depends only on the temperature. Another approach is to use one single fluorescent material displaying strong differences in the temperature sensitivity of two separated emitting lines [6,7]. In this paper, our study provides three-dimensional (3D) resolved investigations at the μm^3 level of the thermal signature of turbulent cavitating flows.

Microflow fields exhibit strong three-dimensional gradients of velocity or temperature. The extrapolation of macroscopic optical methods are thus vulnerable to failure (i) because the thickness of a laser sheet has a significant impact and (ii) because the short diffusion times involved at microscale are incompatible with a continuous illumination. A recent publication addresses the development of two-color laser-induced fluorescence thermometry (LIF) for microfluidic systems [10]. The authors used a pulsed volumetric laser illumination to conduct measurements of a one-dimensional steady-state temperature gradient. Other alternative approaches include tracking individual thermochromic crystal liquid micrometer particles [11,12] but liquid crystals are sensitive to temperature changes only over a limited temperature range. Moreover, temperatures have been measured in laminar microflows by using rhodamine B as a fluorescent dye [13,14] with only average values recorded.

For our experiment we specifically prepared nanohybrid colloids, the synthesis of which has been described elsewhere [15]. Each nanohybrid structure consists of a 5-nm-size gold core coated by a polysiloxane shell of 25 nm that contains around 400 fluorescein molecules. The nanohybrids are then functionalized by a stabilizing agent [N-(3-triethoxysilylpropyl)gluconamide] that ensures the colloidal stability during experiment. The average number of nanoparticles per voxel is, in the current experiment, equal to 5.5, which is below the critical value of 32 particles per voxel (corresponding to a solid volume concentration of 10^{-4}), above which the presence of a solid phase modifies the onset of cavitation [3]. We calibrated the probes by measuring their luminescence spectra under 450-nm excitation wavelength as a function of temperature [from room temperature (RT) up to 600 °C as dry powder and from RT to 100 °C in water] using a LINKHAM THMS600P stage. Typical spectra obtained from 20° to 40°C are presented in Fig. 1. By plotting the temperature values as a function of the ratio between the emitted photons
in the “blue region” to the emitted photons in the “red region”, we obtained a regular polynomial dependence that we used as the calibration curve for experiments (Fig. 1). The particles’ luminescence was also checked as a function of pressure at 8 and 16 bar of nitrogen for temperatures varying from 15 °C to 80 °C. No effect of the pressure in the range studied was observed. By using the ratio between two parts of the emission spectra, we probe the variation in position of the luminescence. We are therefore insensitive to any variation of intensity of the signal, no matter if is due to variation of the excitation source or of the collection efficiency. But we are dependent on the wavelength dependence sensitivity of the system. That is why the calibration has been performed on exactly the same setup that we use for the measurement on the microsystems.

We used a custom-made confocal microscope on which microsystems can be easily attached to carry out this experiment. A scheme of the setup is presented in Fig. 2. We analyzed the optical response of the fluorescent particles in the liquid when flowing through the microchannel. We used as an excitation source a doubled femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser working at 82 MHz (TSUNAMI from Spectra-Physics) with output wavelengths between 400 and 500 nm. For the experiment presented herein the laser power was attenuated down to 30 µW. We focused the laser inside the liquid via a long working distance objective (high-resolution 20× OPTEM from QIOPTIQ) with a numerical aperture of 0.6. Considering the spatial resolution of system to be 1 µm in the XY plane inside the flow system, and better than 5 µm along Z axis, we recorded the average temperature of a an ellipsoidal volume of $1 \times 1 \times 5$ µm diameters. We then imaged the pin hole (diameter 20-µm) in a monochromator (ANDOR SR500) coupled with an electron magnified CCD (EMCCD Newton from ANDOR). The laser light diffusion is filtered by a long pass edge filter (488 nm RazorEdge from SEMROCK). The microsystem is fixed on a double mechanical-piezo stage. The mechanical stage enables the scanning in the XY directions over cm distances (100-nm resolution by steps of 20 µm), whereas the piezo stage has a clearance of 100 µm in the three directions with a sub-nm resolution. For each voxel, the fluorescence spectrum was measured with an integration time of 100 ms.

Performing thermal investigations inside eddies is the challenge of this work. A few alternative designs, devoted to the only hydrodynamic aspect of cavitation in microchannels, can be found in the literature [16,17]. We thus specifically developed a transparent micro channel device for optical purposes, based on the design presented in Refs. [3,4].

Precise description of the microfluid system is required since its dimensions are the input parameters for hydrodynamic modeling. The diaphragm under test was micromachined from a $H = 124$ µm-thick silicon foil that was anodically bonded onto a Pyrex substrate. A deep reactive ion etching process of a silicon wafer produced a well-controlled channel ($W = 500$ µm, $L = 3$ mm), interrupted at half-length by a rectangular diaphragm ($w = 56$ µm, $l = 100$ µm). A Pyrex cap with drilled apertures was then anodically bonded onto the channel. The final device is fully transparent and suitable for the optical setup. The structure of this Pyrex-silicon-Pyrex stacking device is closer to a silicon-Pyrex microchannel device ($H = 126$ µm, $W = 500$ µm, $w = 60$ µm) as formerly
characterized by our group (see Fig. 3(a) in Ref. [3]). We observed the relationship between the pressure drop $\Delta P$ across the device and the corresponding flow rate $Q$ through the device under test. By increasing $\Delta P$, a metastable single liquid phase remains above $Q_{\text{cav}}$. The onset of cavitation goes with a drop of the flow rate from $Q_A$ to $Q_B$ ($\alpha$). By decreasing $\Delta P$, hydrodynamic cavitation remains ($\beta, \gamma$) down to the desinence ($\delta$). The dotted lines correspond to the theoretical relationship in single liquid and two phase flows. The inserted table indicates the maximum measured (exp) and calculated [Eq. (1)] increase of temperature for each cavitation flow regime.

In the microchannel under study, the expected values of $C_A$ and $C_B$ are 0.93 and 0.89, respectively. The critical flow rate is $Q_{\text{cav}} = 200 \mu L/s$, which corresponds to $\Delta P$ of 4.7 bars (for the detailed calculation see Ref. [3]). The onset of cavitation occurs when $Q = 227 \mu L/s$ and $\Delta P = 6.2$ bars (Fig. 3). Then, following the decreasing $\Delta P$ value, the two-phase cavitating flow disappears for $Q \leq 174 \mu L/s$ and $\Delta P = 3.8$ bars. The fact that a two-phase flow remains even if $Q \leq Q_c$ has already been discussed in the literature [3]. Figure 4 illustrates the thermal map recorded in a plane located 10 $\mu$m from the upper side of the channel. A comparison between an optical snapshot of the cavitating flow through the micro diaphragm with the temperature map enables a more precise understanding of the process. The most spectacular occurrence is the presence of a hot spot in areas where the vortices reach the highest intensity. The increase in temperature is around 10 K over a distance of $\sim 100 \mu m$. It is unlikely that such an increase is the consequence of laminar viscous effects in the microchannels, because the expected level of these phenomena should be below 1 K [18]. Because of the necessity to analyze further the map temperature region, during another set of measurements, we focused the laser onto this area and recorded the temperature as a function of time starting from a single metastable liquid phase with $\Delta P = 6.2$ bars and waiting till the onset of cavitation. That evolution is reported in Fig. 5. We can observe here that the temperature sharply increases in phase with the onset of cavitation. The thermal fluctuations display a stronger intensity in the cavitating regime than in the single liquid phase. The thermal gradient decreases together with the pressure drop [see data ($\alpha$), ($\beta$), ($\gamma$) in Fig. 3] and disappears once cavitation switches off. We recorded similar maps to that in Fig. 4 at six different heights ($z$) of the channel where the flow exhibits the strongest thermal gradient (fixing hydrodynamic condition of pressure $\Delta P = 6.2$ bars). Figure 6(a) plots the thermal profiles along the $W$ axis (perpendicular to the length of the channel) for each $z$ value. These 3D investigations demonstrate that the thermal enhancement is located on the half upper side of the channel, specifically in the
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FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Temperature profile recorded at dif-
different heights z of the channel in the direction perpendicular to the
flow, in the area where it exhibits the strongest thermal gradient.
(b) Spatial variations of the thermally normalized intensity. Both data
were recorded with a cavitating flow rate \( Q = 217 \mu \text{L/s} \).

recirculating area located between the liquid jet emerging from
the diaphragm and the left side of the channel [see Fig. 4(a)].
The normalized intensity plotted in Fig. 6(b) is here only a
function of the number of fluorescent emitting particles. So it
may be regarded as information on the void fraction, because
the nanoparticles are hydrophilic. The liquid phase reaches
the highest temperature for \( z = 114 \mu \text{m} \) and \( W = 100 \mu \text{m} \n[Fig. 6(a)], where a maximum void ratio is found to be around
60% [Fig. 6(b)], so the thermal insulation is enhanced. That
agrees with the physical model presented below. Note that
for values of \( W \) corresponding to the recirculating vortices
located at the right side of the emerging jet (150 μm < \( W < 
250 \mu \text{m} \)), the optical detection confirms the presence of a
vapor phase but does not exhibit any noticeable increase of
the temperature. That is a strong confirmation of the efficiency
of the temperature detection, because it demonstrates that there
is no correlation between the recorded emitted spectrum and
the phase of the fluid under investigation.

Connexion between the temperature flow behavior and the
hydrodynamic requires estimation of the power \( \Pi \) dissipated
by the cavitating regime. The transition from a single liquid
phase toward a two-phase flow (that occurs under a fixed
pressure drop \( \Delta P \)) occurs with a decrease of the flow rate
from \( Q_A \) to \( Q_B \) and \( \Pi = \Delta P(Q_A - Q_B) \) (Fig. 3). We assume
that \( \Pi \) is converted into heat by the viscous shear stress. As

known, the thermal hot region is located in the zone where
the larger flow structures break down into smaller ones,
until kinetic energy is overwhelmed by the fluid’s molecular
viscosity. The power density of a thermal hot region of
surface \( S \) is defined as \( p = \Pi/(\Delta H S) \); the snapshot in Fig. 4(a)
allows us to consider that \( S \equiv (W/4)^2 \). Under conditions that
(i) the liquid convection is not taken into account and (ii) the
isothermal state is kept upside and downside of the volume
under investigation, the resolution of the steady-state Fourier
equation gives \( \Delta \theta = \rho C_v (H - z)/2k \), where \( 0 \leq z \leq H \) is
the vertical coordinate and \( k = 1W/m/K \) is the thermal
conductivity of water. Since the maximum increase of
temperature \( \Delta \theta_{\text{max}} \) occurs at \( z = H/2 \), we obtain

\[
\Delta \theta_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{C_A - C_B}{C_B} \frac{\mu^3}{k\rho^2} \frac{Re^3}{W^2u^2H},
\]

where \( Re \) is the Reynolds number through the diaphragm.
The thermal gap is enhanced when \( W \) and the ratio \( w/H \) tend
to zero. Equation (1) results from a streamlined evaluation
of two parallel isothermal planes separated by a distance \( H \).
We calculated and measured thermal gap values that are
summarized in the inset of Fig. 3. We obtained \( \Delta \theta_{\text{max}} = 6 \text{ K} \)
when \( P = 6.2 \text{ bars} \), which reasonably accords with the
recorded value in Fig. 4, but the Eq. (1) fails to describe that
\( \Delta \theta_{\text{max}} = 0 \) once cavitation has disappeared. That is mainly
due to the assumption of a constant \( C_A - C_B \) value. However,
as can be seen in Fig. 3, that last hypothesis remains reliable
for the experimental cavitating data denoted (\( \alpha \), (\( \beta \)), and
(\( \gamma \)). Under these conditions, the maximum temperature gap
recorded inside the core of dissipating eddies is not dissipant
to the one calculated from Eq. (1). Indeed, the temperature
values calculated from Eq. (1) are slightly underestimated
because the liquid phase fills only between 40% and 80%
of the total volume \( HS \). According to the previous equation,
such a void ratio modifies the expected \( \Delta \theta_{\text{max}} \) to a value between
7.5 K and 15 K, which corroborates the experimental results.

In conclusion, we measured a thermal signature of the
transition from a single liquid phase toward a hydrodynamic
cavitating flow in a microchannel. The temperature gradient
matches with the onset of cavitation and with a drop of the
flow rate. A physical model combining the corresponding
hydrodynamic losses to the thermal effects has been proposed.
That model furnishes orders of magnitude that are in a good
agreement with the experimental results and that involve that
the small size of the device enhances the thermal gradient. It
is funded on the hypothesis that losses occur over a limited
volume. However, heat transfers due to phase change may be
considered because the overheating is located in the place
where bubbles condensate. Further tests of different-sized
microdiaphragms and of different-shaped designs, such as
microventuris, are necessary, and they will contribute to obtaining
a better understanding of these unexpected thermal effects.
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